

**VILLAGE OF LOCH LLOYD
BOARD OF TRUSTEES
May 14, 2025 Meeting Minutes**

THE VILLAGE OF LOCH LLOYD BOARD OF TRUSTEES MET IN REGULAR SESSION ON MAY 14, 2025 IN THE BANQUET ROOM OF THE LOCH LLOYD COUNTRY CLUB LOCATED AT 16750 COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE, VILLAGE OF LOCH LLOYD, MISSOURI. MEMBERS PRESENT INCLUDED CHAIRMAN ELSBERRY, TRUSTEE/CLERK LAFATA, TRUSTEE WITHEY, TRUSTEE WORSTELL-BENJAMIN, AND TRUSTEE MURPHY. ALSO IN ATTENDANCE WAS VILLAGE ATTORNEY ZERR.

CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Elsberry called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m. and requested a roll call to confirm quorum.

ROLL CALL

Village Attorney Zerr conducted a roll call of the trustees in attendance for confirmation of quorum. Full Board present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Chairman Elsberry requested all present, as capable, to join in the pledge of allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Elsberry then opened the floor for a motion to approve the Trustee Meeting Minutes from March 27, 2025, April 23, 2025 (Regular Meeting), and April 23, 2025 (Special Meeting). Comment made by Attorney Zerr regarding approval of the minutes “in bulk” or **Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy who motioned to approve the Trustee Meeting Minutes, as presented, in bulk. Chairman Elsberry then recognized Trustee Lafata who seconded the motion.** Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey regarding comments on submission of the same for future meetings. Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Worstell-Benjamin who commented further on provision of the proposed minutes in advance to allow for more thorough review of the same prior to approval. There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote.

Motion passed unanimously 5-0, no objections, no abstentions.

MOTION BY TRUSTEE LAFATA TO AMEND THE AGENDA

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Lafata who motioned to amend the agenda to include as the first agenda item, a discussion regarding restricting the permissible activities of this interim board, its interim chair and its interim clerk to only those activities necessary to

conduct the day-to-day business of the village, until such time as the winner of the run-off election is installed and able to participate as a trustee in all discussions, hearings and votes of this Board. Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy who seconded the motion. Chairman Elsberry then opened the floor for discussion on the motion and recognized Trustee Worstell-Benjamin who affirmed her support of the motion in light of the short time before the runoff election. There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Elsberry closed the discussion and called for a vote.

Motion passed 3 in favor 2 in opposition, no abstentions.

DISCUSSION ON THE NEW AGENDA ITEM RESTRICTING THE ACTIVITIES OF THE INTERIM BOARD

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy for comments on the current status of the interim board, interim clerk, and interim chairman. He believes it to be wise that they only do the things necessary to pay the bills, rather than to take any legislative actions that could alter with the election coming up so quick. Response provided by Chairman Elsberry regarding what is, and is not “day-to-day” business. A budget is required by July, communications with Belton that needs a response. No other comments at that time by members of the Board.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy who moved to limit the activities of this interim board, its interim chair and its interim clerk to only those activities necessary to conduct the “day-to-day” business of the Village, until such time as the winner of the run-off election between Chuck Etherington and Ruth Withey is certified by the Cass County Election Commission, installed as a duly elected Trustee, as soon as possible following certification, and able to participate in all board discussions, hearings and votes.

For the sake of clarity, “day-to-day business of the Village” means;

1. Activities and functions that are purely administrative and do not include any legislative purpose or effect, or any public hearing, or a vote of the Village Board (other than an undertaking that is approved by all five current trustees by public vote), such as the timely payment of Village bills as they come due, responding to routine resident inquiries, and the solicitation of interest by candidates for committee positions that will be filled subsequent to the certification of the runoff election.
2. Responding to inquiries, notices or demands that Attorney Zerr, counsel to the Village board, advises are legally required to be responded to sooner or for which he determines that a delay in responding could prejudice the Village in any potentially material way.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Lafata who seconded the motion.

Chairman Elsberry then opened the floor for discussion and recognized Trustee Withey who inquired as to the author of the motion. Trustee Lafata confirmed that he authored the motion before them. Chairman Worstell-Benjamin inquired as to the scope of the “day-to-day”

operations and “ordinary course”, as well as availability for meeting following the election. Discussion ensued amongst members of the Board regarding anticipated activities and issues that may arise before the election and the next meeting. Chairman Elsberry expressed his opinion that the motion include some “exigent circumstances concept” that should the need arise that the Board could take it up. It would be unfortunate if the were not able to fully perform as a government and complete their obligations. Trustee Lafata responded to the concern by Chairman Elsberry. Attorney Zerr provided input and analysis of how actions would be taken and how a meeting would be called. Chairman Elsberry further discussed the difficulty of unanimity by a governing body.

Chairman Elsberry then moved to amend the motion to require only a simple majority vote to take items up for consideration during the interim rather than unanimity. Chairman Elsberry then recognized Trustee Withey who seconded the motion to amend. Chairman Elsberry inquired as to the process for calling a meeting. Attorney Zerr provided analysis and response, including notice and posting. Attorney Zerr then read the proposed motion for consideration (with the suggested amended language). Additional input provided by Chairman Elsberry following the reading of the proposed motion (as amended), and additional response by Attorney Zerr.

Chairman Elsberry then recognized Trustee Murphy who provided additional analysis on his intents and objectives in making the motion. Until there is an election, they can call a meeting to discuss things, but until they have the election, they should not do anything to set things in stone. Response from Chairman Elsberry regarding the fully constituted Board.

There being no further discussion on the motion to amend, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote. Motion passed unanimously 5-0, no objections, no abstentions.

The Board then took up the amended motion which would require a majority vote of the Board for action to be taken prior to the conclusion of the runoff election. Amended motion was read by Attorney Zerr as follows:

Motion to limit the activities of this interim board, its interim chair and its interim clerk to only those activities necessary to conduct the “day-to-day” business of the Village, until such time as the winner of the run-off election between Chuck Etherington and Ruth Withey is certified by the Cass County Election Commission, installed as a duly elected Trustee, as soon as possible following certification, and able to participate in all board discussions, hearings and votes.

For the sake of clarity, “day-to-day business of the Village” means;

- 1. Activities and functions that are purely administrative and do not include any legislative purpose or effect, or any public hearing, or a vote of the Village Board (other than an undertaking that is approved by a majority of the current trustees by public vote), such as the timely payment of Village bills as they come due, responding to routine resident inquiries, and the**

solicitation of interest by candidates for committee positions that will be filled subsequent to the certification of the runoff election.

2. Responding to inquiries, notices or demands that Attorney Zerr, counsel to the Village board, advises are legally required to be responded to sooner or for which he determines that a delay in responding could prejudice the Village in any potentially material way.

There being no further discussion on the motion to amend, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote.

Motion passed unanimously 5-0, no objections, no abstentions.

Chairman Elsberry then inquired of Attorney Zerr on the process for moving forward on the Agenda and whether to complete New Business or go back to address the Reports of Committees and Officers, Receipt of Communications from Staff and Members, and Unfinished Business. Mr. Zerr provided a recommendation to return to Agenda Item #4.

REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES AND OFFICERS

Chairman Elsberry then brought up for consideration the Reports from Committees and Officer and recognized Clerk Lafata and requested that he provide a report on the incomplete items from the rezoning application submitted by Mike Neighbors. Discussion amongst members of the Board ensued thereafter. No action sought. No action taken. Question raised by Chairman Elsberry regarding the specific items that have been requested of Mr. Neighbors. Detailed response provided by Clerk Lafata.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey who inquired as to whether the water run off and stormwater items being requested of Mr. Neighbors matched the water run off and stormwater items that were presented and submitted during the approval process for the rezoning application on the Sechrest. Clerk Lafata confirmed. Further comments from Trustee Withey and response from Clerk Lafata on the extent of the study.

Chairman Elsberry inquired on how eminent the timeframe was for submission of a completed application. Clerk Lafata referenced the five (5) month span between the last round of submissions as anecdotal response on the inquiry.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey regarding submission of payment from Mr. Neighbors. Clerk Lafata confirmed that payment has not yet been submitted by Mr. Neighbors.

RECEIPT OF COMMUNICATIONS FROM STAFF AND MEMBERS

Chairman Elsberry then brought up for consideration the Receipt of Communications from Staff and Members.

a. Belton Revised Annexation Request 4-29-25

Chairman Elsberry recognized Attorney Zerr for a staff report on the recent correspondence received from representatives of the City of Belton, Missouri regarding their revised annexation request. Attorney Zerr presented the April 29, 2025 correspondence from Mr. Wright with their revised annexation proposal along with a photo of what they are proposing to do going up to the intersection of Holmes and 58 Highway. Not going down Holmes. They are looking for an updated response from the Village for submission to the Missouri Department of Transportation. Attorney Zerr has communicated with the Assistant City Manager in Belton and believes that they would be willing to come to discuss it with the Board at a future meeting. Whether it is a legislative meeting or a work session. It would allow a chance for the Board to ask questions about the impact of the threatened intermodal development. Though they are hopeful of a response by June 2, 2025, following receipt of their first proposal, the Village took approximately 4-5 months to provide a response. The June date is not necessarily a deadline. Attorney Zerr does not believe that the Village will be prejudiced if we submit our answer after the June 2 deadline.

Questions and discussion amongst members of the Board ensued thereafter. Responses provided by Attorney Zerr as appropriate and to the extent possible. Additional input provided by Clerk Lafata based upon his interactions with representatives of the Missouri Department of Transportation and the submitted application for a Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) Grant. Trustee Worstell-Benjamin requested information on the true motivation and representations provided by representatives of Belton. Attorney Zerr provided input on what was presented during the meeting in Belton with former Chairman Schultz and Trustee Murphy. Inquiry from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin regarding a “material concealment” by Belton. Attorney Zerr referred to it annexation issues as “warfare”.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey who provided information on a “thumb-drive” containing all of the information she obtained from Belton on their proposed annexation. Trustee Withey is providing it to Chairman Elsberry for use by the Village moving forward.

Chairman Elsberry commented on the \$30 million amount that they are potentially receiving as part of the project. Many aspects of the project, the Village would be agnostic on, but as it relates to putting industrial traffic on Holmes Road, we need to know and be proactive in defending against. Inquired of Attorney Zerr as to whether the Village’s consent is required to get the annexation. Attorney Zerr confirmed that it was not. All Belton has to do is notify the Village to complete the annexation. However, they may require it for approval of the RAISE Grant.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey who commented on the correspondence consenting to the annexation which was originally sent by the Village. Attorney Zerr provided a response to the inquiry and corrected the timeframe within which the consent was granted prior to the withdrawal. Attorney Zerr responded to the impact it may have on the RAISE Grant application. Clerk Lafata provided further clarification on the timeline of events surrounding the application and proposed annexation.

Discussion ensued on whether to allow comments and questions from the residents of Loch Lloyd. Attorney Zerr provided analysis of the options. Follow-up comments on the same from Trustee Withey and her support of letting the residents of the Village comment and question. Response from Attorney Zerr regarding concerns that may be raised if they know there will be questions. Trustee Murphy commented on the importance of having both Missouri Department of Transportation and Belton present. Trustee Withey inquired as to the benefit the annexation would be for annexation. Attorney Zerr provided a speculative response. Trustee Withey indicated that they would not be taking over maintenance of the roadways. Clerk Lafata commented further on the safety issues associated with larger trucks on Holmes Road.

Trustee Worstell-Benjamin commented on her concerns for the safety of the roadways and blown tires from debris on Holmes. Follow-up comments from Chairman Elsberry on the stoplight proposed and impacts on safety issues with Holmes versus Markey Road and Scott Road along with efforts to get to I-49 and Highway 150. Similar comments from Trustee Withey and speculation on what roads would be utilized in the intermodal proposal. Trustee Lafata provided insight on the impacts for Honeywell and security concerns that they may have with access on their surrounding roadways for intermodal traffic. Inquiry from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin on options available to prevent the annexation and/or development of the intermodal center through legal channels. Attorney Zerr provided analysis and options including legal efforts and political options to consider.

Chairman Elsberry recapped and then **motioned to invite representatives of Belton and the Missouri Department of Transportation to come before the Board at its next regularly scheduled meeting on June 11, 2025 at 6:00 p.m.** Suggested the legal counsel for the Village prepare a short “issues” list for presentation to the Belton representatives in advance of the meeting. Chairman Elsberry also provided thoughts on inviting some of the other smaller neighbors.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Worstell-Benjamin who inquired on legal “teeth” to prevent this legally. Village Attorney Zerr provided analysis of the issue including the lack of an “intent to annex” by the Village, and the limitations/inability to provide “services” to any residents. Politically, the Village could cause disruption in their efforts to obtain the RAISE Grant through MODoT.

Inquiry from Trustee Withey regarding traffic control and traffic signals along Holmes Road. Response provided by Trustee Murphy on the importance of MODoT involvement.

Request from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin to have the following statement included in the minutes of the meeting,

“She does not believe it to be true that Kenneth Road is even a road does not consider it to be an actual road.”

Village Attorney Zerr confirmed that it will be reflected accordingly. Further comments from Village Attorney Zerr regarding prior actions of the Village Board of Trustees for establishing

and passing an intent to annex for any other areas outside the current boundaries. Worthy of having a conversation with Dr. Abrams regarding an intent to annex passed prior to our service as Village legal counsel. Village Attorney Zerr also noted that there was previously a motion made by Chairman Elsberry. However, no second to that motion was ever voiced. Chairman Elsberry recognized **Trustee Worstell-Benjamin who seconded the motion.**

There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote.

Motion passed unanimously 5-0, no objections, no abstentions.

OLD BUSINESS

a. **None presented.**

NEW BUSINESS

a. **Ordinance 2025-5-14-01**

Chairman Elsberry opened the floor on the next new business agenda item and recognized Village Attorney Zerr who introduced the ordinance and read it by title. Discussion ensued amongst members of the Board. Questions and comments were provided. Village Attorney Zerr provided responses as requested and needed.

The ordinance will set the regular time and date for all future Board meetings. It sets it on the second Wednesday of each month at 6:00. It provides the clubhouse as the identified location for all future Board meetings. If there is a conflict, it identifies an alternative location for the meeting. The ordinance would also address conflicts due to holidays and identifies alternative meeting types such as “special meetings”, “work sessions”, or “regular legislative meetings”, or cancellations of meetings. By statute, the Village is only required to hold meetings once a quarter. They have approximately every month for as long as the Village Attorney has served. Village Attorney Zerr provided input an analysis.

Trustee Withey commented on the benefits of having the meetings in the evenings based upon the ability to include younger individuals.

Chairman Elsberry then provided additional details on the ordinance including the procedures for calling meetings and setting the agenda for meetings. Chairman Elsberry commented on the minimum required sections for each agenda and the inclusion of visitor participation in the discussions capped at 5 minutes.

There being no further discussion on the matter, Chairman Elsberry indicated that the floor was open for a motion, if any, to address the subject. Chairman Elsberry recognized **Trustee Withey who motioned to approve the Ordinance 2025-5-14-01.** Chairman Elsberry recognized **Trustee Worstell-Benjamin who seconded the motion.**

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Worstell Benjamin who commented on the benefits of holding the night meetings for both young and older residents within the community. Response from Trustee Withey provided.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy who motioned to amend so that 3 Trustees would be required to call a special meeting. Inquiry from Chairman Elsberry regarding establishment of ordinances that are more restrictive than statute. Trustee Withey sought to have the timeframe for posting notice be extended. Village Attorney Zerr commented that the Board should not limit their ability to conduct business by making it more than 24 hours posted notice.

Chairman Elsberry provided his analysis of why the ability to call a special meeting of the Trustees should be limited to 2 rather than 3. It would allow for a minority view to be heard for issues to be heard and addressed. Trustee Withey requested that a notice be sent out without details. Village Attorney Zerr commented that without an agenda and at least some details of the items that will be discussed at the meeting, it will not conform with the proper notice requirements. Chairman Elsberry noted that the objective would be to have all items addressed at regular meetings versus special meetings.

Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Murphy who commented on the amount of time being allotted for community resident. Recommended 3 minutes. Trustee Withey requested a timeframe for a maximum of 5 minutes or 4 minutes. Comments from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin regarding her concerns with limitations on time. Chairman Elsberry follow-up with the ability of the Chairman to control the timeframe. Trustee Murphy reaffirms the notion of limiting the time to 4 minutes. **Chairman Elsberry motions to amend Section 1.6 of the Ordinance to lower the time period for individual comment from 5 minutes to 4 minutes, subject it to the chair's discretion, which may be amended by the chairman or presiding officer.** Chairman Elsberry recognized Trustee Withey who seconded the motion to amend.

Further discussion ensued between Trustee Murphy, Trustee Withey and Chairman Elsberry including inquiry on the "chair's discretion to extend the timelimit".

There being no further discussion on the motion to amend, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote. **Motion to amend was approved unanimously. No abstentions.**

Inquiry from Trustee Lafata regarding the vote to not consider legislative actions for this evening. Chairman Elsberry responded. Further discussion ensued. There remains the underlying motion to approve the Ordinance (as amended). Inquiry continued including the Chair's to recognition of Trustee Candidate Etherington who provided input from the audience. Analysis was that adoption of an ordinance is legislative action. Responses from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin that this is not the type of legislation that she voted to limit at the beginning of the meeting. Trustee Murphy raised the precedent that is being set by this vote if they proceed further. Response and further discussion provided by Trustee Worstell-Benjamin and suggested consulting with Village Attorney Zerr. Response was provided by Village Attorney Zerr.

Continued debate between Trustee Murphy and Chairman Elsberry on the issue of legislative action being taken.

There being no further discussion on the motion to amend, Chairman Elsberry called for a vote. **Motion to approve the Ordinance, as amended, was approved by a vote of 3 to 2. No abstentions.**

b. Discussion on Budget

Chairman Elsberry opened the floor for discussion on the budget. Discussion ensued. Consensus was reached to not set a budget until the new trustee is placed following the runoff election. Questions from Chairman Elsberry on budget. Comments from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin regarding information on the budget. Differences to be considered at the next Board meeting. Input provided by Village Attorney Zerr regarding process for approval and public hearing obligations. Public comment can be received, without it being a public hearing. Trustee Lafata suggested including it on the agenda for the June 11, 2025 Board of Trustee meeting. Questions can be submitted to Clerk Lafata in advance of the next meeting.

Discussion but no action taken. Chairman Elsberry instructed for it to be included on the agenda for next month.

c. Discussion on Appointments & Engagement (Officers, Committee Members and Commissioners)

Chairman Elsberry opened the floor for discussion on appointment and engagement of officers, committee members, and commissioners. Goal is to identify vacancies and current volunteer needs as well as to discuss the roles of the identified committees. No legislative action is intended to occur in keeping with the first vote of the meeting.

Board of Zoning Adjustment would require five (5) members to hear variance applications plus two (2) alternate members. Input provided by Village Attorney Zerr including statutory authority. Discussion and conversation ensued amongst the members of the Board of Trustees. Chairman Elsberry suggested that the notice provide input that it has never been previously convened. Attorney Zerr provided input on the process for appointment and the details of the Board of Adjustment responsibilities. Instructions from Chairman Elsberry to Clerk Lafata to seek applications for members and alternates amongst the citizens and present the same at the June 11, 2025 meeting. Chairman Elsberry provided instructions to Clerk Lafata to solicit applications and provide details on the duties.

Chairman Elsberry then opened discussion on the Emergency Preparedness Chair. It was initially suggested by Chairman Elsberry that the position could remain with former Trustee Douglas. The Chairman will inquire of former Trustee Douglas. Chairman Elsberry inquired as to the appointment of a Trustee to serve as a liaison. Inquiry from Trustee Worstell-Benjamin on the status of the animal control contract with Belton. She further expressed an interest in being involved in those discussions. Village Attorney Zerr provided the response. Input from Chairman Elsberry regarding further discussions on animal control and potential for inclusion on a future Board agenda.

Chairman Elsberry then opened discussion on the current vacancies within the Planning and Zoning Commission. The Chairman (whoever that may be) serves on the P&Z. The Board of Trustees appoint another of their member to also serve on the P&Z. Village Attorney Zerr confirmed the membership pursuant to statute and ordinance. Clerk Lafata confirmed that there will be four (4) vacancies total including Trustee Worstell-Benjamin, Chairman Elsberry, Commissioner Irvin, and Chairman Etherington, each of whom has either moved to the Board, or whose terms will expire shortly. Confirmation from Trustee Withey. Village Attorney Zerr provided clarification that the current members serve until their replacement is appointed and seated. Instructions from Chairman Elsberry to Clerk Lafata to solicit applications for four (4) vacancies to be potentially addressed at the June 11, 2025 meeting.

Chairman Elsberry then opened discussion on whether to pursue a Village Treasurer, and whether they could consider an individual to assist the Village Clerk in their duties. Clerk Lafata provided information on the current accountant who does the Village books. Discussion ensued with comments and suggestions from Trustee Withey and Chairman Elsberry on whether the position can be combined to help alleviate the job of the Village Clerk. Village Attorney Zerr suggested that the Clerk would still be appointed by the Board, but the question is whether the Board would like to hire an Assistant Clerk to assist in the day-to-day operations of the Village. Inquiry from Trustee Withey regarding whether the assistant could draft the minutes. Village Attorney confirmed.

Trustee Worstell-Benjamin was favorable of entertaining the additional assistance. Village Attorney suggested that Clerk Lafata provide a job description and his input on the assistant position. Clerk Lafata provided input on the position. Village Attorney provided additional input regarding outside accountant for establishment of the budget and creation of the annual audit. Clerk Lafata to confer with the Village accountant on potentially serving as Village Treasurer and duties related to an assistant for the Village Clerk. Village Attorney Zerr suggested bifurcating the Clerk position so that the Clerk and Assistant Clerk would maintain the records, answer records requests, etc. The Treasurer then becomes the primary contact with the accountant on the backside. The accountant would provide the back office support for the Treasurer. Chairman Elsberry confirmed that the current Village accountant would be a good choice for the role.

Trustee Worstell-Benjamin requested that Clerk Lafata provide the Board with an assessment of the hour requirement that would go into the Assistant Clerk position. Clerk Lafata confirmed. Clerk Lafata will confer with the accountant and report back to the Board next month. Inquiry from Trustee Withey regarding additional work that may be appointed to the Assistant Clerk.

Village Attorney Zerr provided an overview of the directions given for each of the vacancies. Chairman Elsberry confirmed the itemized tasks.

Chairman Elsberry then opened the floor for discussion on engagements do we have an obligation to renew engagements (Village Attorney or Village Planner). Village Attorney Zerr provided analysis of the process utilized by other municipalities including review and renewal every two years. Further, though not required, it is recommended that competitive bidding be

utilized any time a position/engagement is sought in the future. Village Attorney Zerr confirmed that the Village Planner has a similar contractual arrangement.

COMMENTS FROM VISITORS

Doug Henley, 16313 Turnberry. Serves as President of the North HOA. Thanked the Board for adding this item to their agenda. He appreciated the discussion for setting a fixed date for future meetings. Relative to the regular meetings, he asked for production of an agenda at least a week in advance. The “work of the Village”. He urged the Board to consider hiring a part-time or full-time executive assistant or an assistant clerk. He recommended that it based upon the current business of the Village. He then gave an example of the animal control issues which started over two years ago. He suggested that a full-time staff person could have already gotten the contract completed with Belton. Second example of why it is a good idea to have a staff member who can work with committees or representatives of the surrounding communities and counties on both sides of the state line to keep moving projects forward between meetings of the Board. Suggested that the Board remember the land use master plan as it relates to annexation. He also recommended incorporating a budget and year-to-date numbers with each agenda.

Rodney Reego. Thanks for referencing the Belton revised annexation issues and peeling back the onion to figure out what is really going on. He recommended having a visual or projector for members of the community any time that they are discussion anything geographic. Finally, he suggested having a meeting with MODoT and Belton and other parties. Finally, corrected the comments regarding Honeywell employer.

SUCH OTHER MATTERS AS MAY COME BEFORE THE BOARD

Trustee Withey inquired as to the St. James Court lot where no construction is being completed. Trustee Withey read from the Board minutes from March 27 on the subject. Clerk Lafata provided additional information on the process and efforts with the owner to complete construction. GBA sent a letter to the builder. The response from the builder included a specific timeline. They are currently compliant with the timeline. They are moving forward and Clerk Lafata is receiving updates from the neighboring property owners.

Chairman Elsberry then raised the issue of appointing a Zoning Administrator to manage the Unified Development Ordinance. No action being sought. Chairman Elsberry inquired as to who would generally serve in that capacity. Village Attorney Zerr suggested that the role is generally filled by a Certified Planner. Confirmation that Mr. Shires is qualified to serve in this capacity. Chairman Elsberry suggested his willingness to reach out to Mr. Shires to confirm.

MOTION TO EXIT TO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Chairman Elsberry opened the floor for a motion to enter executive session of the Board of Trustees to discuss litigation matters under Section 610.021(1) RSMo. Chairman Elsberry recognized **Trustee Murphy who moved that the Board enter into an executive session**

pursuant to Section 610.021(1) RSMo for purposes of discussing litigation matter.
Chairman Elsberry then recognized **Trustee Withey who seconded the motion.**

There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Elsberry called for a roll call vote of the Board.

Trustee Withey	Aye
Trustee Worstell-Benjamin	Aye
Trustee Lafata	Aye
Trustee Murphy	Aye
Chairman Elsberry	Aye

Unanimous vote to enter executive session at 7:59 p.m.

Board exited executive session at 8:27 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Elsberry recognized **Trustee Lafata who motioned to adjourn.** Chairman Elsberry then recognized **Trustee Withey who seconded the motion.** There being no further discussion on the motion, Chairman Elsberry called the vote. **Motion passed unanimously 4-0 (Trustee Worstell-Benjamin having exited the meeting during the executive session), no objections, no abstentions.**

Meeting adjourned at 8:28 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan S. Zerr

Jonathan S. Zerr
Village Clerk